

Scientists: “Exclusive Club” To Assume Command Of Global Geoengineering



Jurriaan Maessen

ExplosiveReports.Com

March 4, 2013

Scientific publication envisions “a strategic multilateral implementation (of planetary scale geoengineering) through an exclusive ‘club’ that increases benefits to members at the expense of those excluded.”

In a [recent publication](#) published by *Environmental Research Letters*, three scientists have published the results of a computer simulation “game”, probing several scenario’s on how to best organize a “unilateral implementation scheme” of global geoengineering.

“(…) a sufficiently powerful international coalition might be able to deploy solar geoengineering. Here, we show that regional differences in climate outcomes create strategic incentives to form coalitions that are as small as possible, while still powerful enough to deploy solar geoengineering.”

Defining geoengineering as “intentionally reducing the amount of sunlight that reaches Earth’s surface through the use of stratospheric aerosols”, the authors used climate models (such as the ones used by the IPCC) as the basis for their “gaming” activities- which they describe as “global thermostat setting game”:
“The characteristics of coalitions to geoengineer climate are modeled using a ‘global thermostat setting game’ based on climate model results. Coalition members have incentives to exclude non-members that would prevent implementation of solar geoengineering at a level that is optimal for the existing coalition.”, authors Katharine Ricke and Ken Caldeira point out.

Under “rules and assumptions” the authors explain that “the game takes place in two stages.”

“During the first stage players choose their memberships and the winning coalition is formed. In the second stage, the winning coalition acts as a single actor to maximize the benefits of geoengineering to all coalition members. Players outside the coalition do not make decisions in this second stage.”

From the assertion that man made climate change will have different results from region to region, the scientists involved in this modeling business factored these differences into their “global thermostat setting game”, as a result of which researched different international coalitions that would be most likely to succeed in starting and maintaining a global geoengineering effort:

“(…) If a decision were made somehow to move ahead with the deployment of an intentionally introduced stratospheric aerosol layer, some regions might prefer a cooling or warming relative to the current climate, creating complicated problems in setting the global thermostat. In addition, several modeling studies have demonstrated that if solar geoengineering is used to compensate for rising greenhouse-gas concentrations and

is then stopped abruptly, very rapid warming can occur. Thus, if solar geoengineering is ever implemented, stopping suddenly poses a threat.”

From this assertion follows a draconian consequence, namely that only a sustained geengineering effort of the earth’s atmosphere on the part of an international coalition can do the job. The premise of the paper, supported by their computer simulations, is that an “exclusive club” of nations taking the rein, would be the best way to sustain such a spraying campaign for a sufficient period of time:

“a strategic multilateral implementation through an exclusive ‘club’ that increases benefits to members at the expense of those excluded. If the option of a global coalition is accounted for in formulating a system of intracoalitional transfers, the game presented here always produces a stable and powerful coalition in which all coalition members benefit from excluding other parties.”

The rationale behind this seemingly contradictory statement reads as follows:

“Under an exclusive coalitions model of international agreements to geoengineer, if one coalition breaks down, another is ready and eager to take its place. As the potential harm from termination grows (i.e., as the amount of greenhouse-gas forcings being compensated for with geoengineering increases), so too do the incentives to avoid this termination among all potential coalitions.”

That the geoengineering efforts by this exclusive club are a thing of the long haul is evident from the authors’ assertion that “if the coalition so decides, solar geoengineering can be implemented starting in 2015 and negotiations among club members only will determine the setting of the global thermostat for the next ten years. In each subsequent decade, negotiations begin anew, and determine a new thermostat setting for the next ten years.”

After the publication lists the outcomes of their gaming models, they conclude by stating that the “exclusive club” scenario, as opposed to an inclusive one, turns out to be the desired way to move forward:

“(…) the results of our game simulations show the maximum achievable benefits regions can gain by acting strategically to form exclusive clubs; this necessarily imposes damages on non-members relative to their preferences”.

The outcomes of this publication are inherently draconian, especially if we take into account the fact that the World Economic Forum in [its latest Global Risks report](#) warned that a “rogue nation” or individual could “hijack” global climate change for nefarious purposes. The report mentions several possible scenarios, or X-factors, which could occur in the coming year, among which (page 57) a geoengineering nightmare, according to the report, “in which a country or small group of countries precipitates an international crisis by moving ahead with deployment or large-scale research independent of the global community. The global climate could, in effect, be hijacked by a rogue country or even a wealthy individual, with unpredictable costs to agriculture, infrastructure and global stability.”

This is an ironical confusion like only top globalist factions can produce. Where the World Economic Forum warns us about possible “rogue” parties moving ahead with planetary-wide geoengineering schemes, another group of globalist-funded scientists suggest an “exclusive club” should take the reins over global spraying efforts to “offset global warming”.

In the WEF-report we get the impression that the “rogue” parties described are parties that have not or will not sign onto global agreements presided over by the UN. This, according to the report, “leaves a gap for unregulated experimentation.”

“For example”, the report states “an island state threatened with rising sea levels may decide they have nothing to lose, or a well funded individual with good intentions may take matters into their own hands. There are signs that this is already starting to occur.”

“Recent studies”, the report goes on to state, “suggest that a small fleet of aircraft could inject a million tonnes of sulphur compounds into the stratosphere – enough to offset roughly half of the global warming experienced to date – for US\$1-2 billion annually.”

According to environmental writer Clive Hamilton in [a 2010 article](#) for the Guardian “a powerful coalition of forces is quietly constellating around the idea of transforming the Earth’s atmosphere by simulating volcanic eruptions to counter the warming effects of carbon pollution. Engineering the planet’s climate system is attracting the attention of scientists, scientific societies, venture capitalists and conservative think tanks. Despite the enormity of what is being proposed — nothing less than taking control of Earth’s climate system — the public has been almost entirely excluded from the planning”.

In the context of the recent modeling tables published in *Environmental Research Letters*, these words by Hamilton (himself a believer in man-made climate change) have been taken account before they commenced with their “global thermostat setting game”. Hamilton wrote in 2010:

“If there is no international agreement an impatient nation suffering the effects of climate disruption may decide to act alone. It is not out of the question that in three decades the climate of the Earth could be determined by a handful of Communist Party officials in Beijing. Or the government of an Australia crippled by permanent drought, collapsing agriculture and ferocious bushfires could risk the wrath of the world by embarking on a climate control project”.

Apart from the fact that any planetary scale geoengineering effort would be incomprehensibly irresponsible- and apart from the fact that anthropogenic global warming is in essence a pseudo-science- the fact that scientists are now envisioning an elite club of nations taking the reins in global geoengineering should make the alarm-bells go off all over the place.

[About these ads](#)

Share this:

- [Twitter35](#)
- [Facebook126](#)
-

Like this:

Posted on [March 4, 2013](#) → [5 Comments](#)

This entry was posted in [Explosive Reports](#). Bookmark the [permalink](#).

5 Responses to Scientists: “Exclusive Club” To Assume Command Of Global Geoengineering

-  [test](#) | [March 17, 2013 at 12:47 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

After examine a number of of the blog posts in your web site now, and I really like your approach of blogging. I bookmarked it to my bookmark web site listing and shall be checking back soon. Pls try my web site as well and let me know what you think.
-  [Ayam Tangkap restaurant](#) | [March 16, 2013 at 4:20 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Somebody necessarily lend a hand to make severely posts I might state. This is the first time I frequented your website page and up to now? I amazed with the research you made to make this particular put up incredible. Great activity!
-  [Frans Bouman](#) | [March 9, 2013 at 2:05 am](#) | [Reply](#)

More fear-mongering. These sorts of games are conducted all the time to play out various scenarios and in no way are indicative of anything that will actually be done. There are many geoengineering proposals around for dealing with global warming. The most probable outcome of global warming is that we are not going to do much of anything to slow it down until fossil fuels simply become too expensive for continued economic growth. Whether that will be too late is anybody’s guess. Meanwhile, now’s the time to buy some nice property on a cliff overlooking Hudson’s Bay for your descendents to use as their temperate zone retreat from the tropics.
-  [Maria](#) | [March 7, 2013 at 11:56 am](#) | [Reply](#)

For decades, Hollywood has been programming people to think a global catastrophe can be avoided by the decisive actions of a courageous few, bypassing the democratic process. This will make it easier for the many who already feel powerless to trust ”well funded individuals with good intentions.”



5. *Concerned Citizen* | [March 4, 2013 at 12:48 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

This all to say nothing of the possible threat to human life from nano-particles of Aluminum and other metals used in weather modification crossing the blood-brain barrier and causing brain damage when subjected to high concentrations of microwave radiation emitted by sources like cell phones & smart meters.

YouTube:

1. Alarming levels of radiation allowed in US food. Fukushima Fallout
2. Fukushima radiation in California produce, mutations hit south California.
3. Mutant butterflies emerged due to radiation in Japan.
4. More Michigan mutations from Japanese fallout.

21/4/12